SENSING 2018 NOVE SENSING 2018

Workshop organized by Jan-Peter Voß, Nora Rigamonti, Marcela Suarez, Jacob Watson Keynotes by Antoine Hennion & Sophia Prinz

with support from the "Innovation in Governance" Research Group and the Graduate School "Innovation Society Today" at the Department of Sociology at Technische Universität Berlin, and from the Institute for Latin American Studies at Freie Universität Berlin and in cooperation with the ICI Berlin Institute for Cultural Inquiry











CALL FOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The relation between aesthetics and politics has long been an issue of concern: often treated as opposites, sometimes connected perhaps, but essentially belonging to different spheres. Politics has been understood as the public questioning and shaping of collective orders, through power struggle or rational deliberation, mainly within the institutions of the nation state; while aesthetics has been considered either a private affair or a radical form of play contained in the field of arts (Rebentisch 2012; Hoggett/Thompson 2012; Reckwitz/ Prinz/ Schäfer 2015a). Their mingling has been observed with skepticism (e.g. Horkheimer/Adorno 2006 [1944]; Downs 1957; Debord 1996 [1967]. Yet this line of separation is undoubtedly less clear than some have claimed. For aesthetics and politics this is reflective of what can also be seen as a broader questioning of accounts based on social theories of functional differentiation.

Examples such as President Barack Obama singing Amazing Grace at a funeral for victims of the Charleston mass shooting (Latour 2016), anti-smoking policies' use of shocking images on packaging to dissuade tobacco product purchases (Keane 2014), the Slow Food Movement's mobilization of a constituency through taste experiences (Hayes-Conroy/Martin 2010), the Occupy Movement's bodily performance of collectivity in urban spaces (Butler 2015), or the Center of Political Beauty intervening in the public discourse on refugees in Germany with the mass-digging of "graves" on the lawn in front parliament (Stange/Rummel/Waldvogel 2018) are all illustrative entanglements of doing aesthetics and doing politics. We suggest that in activism, party competition, public policy and international diplomacy, as well as in various branches of art, design and marketing, in everyday life and consumer practices, aesthetics and politics have become inextricably intertwined – or have always been so.

With this workshop, we want to reexamine the nexus between aesthetics and politics by turning away from their conception as institutionally or communicatively differentiated spheres and instead take a "practice turn" (Schatzki/Knorr Cetina/ von Savigny 2001) to have a look at what is actually done, and how, and to what effect - both in art, design and aesthetics (e.g. Zembylas 2014) and in politics, policy-making and governance (e.g. Jonas/ Littig 2016). We propose to start with a generic (and provisional) definition of aesthetics as the doing (and undoing) of sensorial perceptions and politics as the doing (and undoing) of collective subjectivities and agencies. This brings us to focus on a variety of specific forms of entanglement between aesthetic and political practices. We are particularly interested in the interplay and tensions of such entanglements that are constitutive of social orders and patterns of governance as well as those that are disruptive. For quick reference we suggest as a guiding question for this endeavor: How do practices of shaping perceptions and practices of shaping collective subjectivities as well as agencies intertwine in specific situations, to what effect and for which kinds of collective orders?

Our conceptual starting point is defined by two recent trends in the fields of sociology and the study of governance. For one, the past two decades have seen a shift in the field of sociology toward the senses, opening up new ways for studying social relations beyond words (Vannini/ Waskul/Gottschalk 2012; Göbel/Prinz 2015; Howes/ Classen 2013; Synnott 1993). Then, across the social sciences, conceptions of governance have been widened from a focus on rules and the state's

monopoly of violence to the shaping of collective orders through culture, i.e., the systems of meaning that are taken for granted in the practicing of certain forms of life, such as language, gendered bodies, material devices or infrastructures (e.g. Manning 2006; Barry 2001; Butler 1990; Foucault 1986). The new sociology of the senses and the cultural turn in governance studies meet each other, or can be made to meet, in praxeological approaches of social research that take specific sensorial dispositions and affective competences of human bodies as well as materialities and the physicality of media and artefacts to be constitutive elements of social order [Dewey 2005 [1934]; Bourdieu 1987; Gomart/Hennion 1999; Hennion 2007; Shove 2003; Reckwitz 2016, 2015b). They devote attention to "aesthetic practices" as activities that reflexively engage with sensorial perception and affect (Reckwitz/ Prinz/Schäfer 2015; Thevenot 2014; Hennion 2004). The sociology of the senses and praxeological studies of social ordering have also converged in a growing body of research that has begun to study the aesthetic practices at work in governmental public relations (Latour 2016; Tye 2011), the everyday politics of parliaments (Manow 2004; Dányi 2015), policy-making and governance (Schulte-Römer et al. 2017), marketing (Spence/Gallace 2011; Lindstrom 2005), grassroots mobilization (Butler 2015; Hayes DConroy/Martin 2010, Sassatelli/ Davolio 2010), art activism (Groys 2014; Weibel 2015) and public participation (Marres 2012).



The aim of our workshop is thus to further probe and outline a more conceptually refined practice-oriented approach toward the intertwined and reciprocally constitutive relationship between aesthetic and political practices. We hope to learn from concrete empirical examples about a variety of specific ways in which sensorial perceptions and collective subjectivities and agencies are shaped and about how they relate to each other, interact, and co-produce or jointly work to dismantle collectively lived realities. We expect that a focus on sensorial perception, affectivity and aesthetic practice will contribute a novel perspective on the (un)making of collective orders as it traditionally concerns studies of politics, governance and innovation, but where, so far, social order has largely been reduced to institutional, discursive and cognitive dimensions.

We invite contributions in the form of academic papers as well as artistic performances or accounts of interventions which provide exemplary demonstrations of aesthetic and political practices intertwined. Academic papers may focus on theoretical and methodological aspects directly related to the issues mentioned or may provide close-up empirical accounts of the intertwining of aesthetic and political practices and on their combined effect on specific, historically situated orders. We are primarily interested in papers or performative demonstrations concerned either with the disruptive interplay of aesthetics and politics breaking, subverting and deconstructing collective orders or with the constitutive interplay of aesthetics and politics building, maintaining and reinforcing collective orders. Collective orders may in any specific case be old, established and hegemonic or new, alternative, emancipatory realities and ways of life. We suggest that within this complex intertwining and nesting of aesthetic and political practices, and their ambivalence as both order-creating (modes of governance) and order-disrupting (modes of emancipation), a more differentiated approach may reveal a map of sorts, a sophisticated mingling and overlay of these practices and their effects.

PLANNED PROGRAM & SUBMISSION PROCEDURE

The workshop will open with two keynote presentations Wednesday afternoon. For Thursday and Friday we plan to compose a program of up to 12 contributions selected from submitted abstracts.

We invite abstracts of up to 500 words outlining the intended contribution to the workshop, either in the form of a paper or a demonstration, to be submitted by 15 August 2018. We will make a selection from submitted contributions and notify contributors by 1 September 2018. A program of confirmed contributions will be circulated shortly thereafter. We will have keynote addresses by

Antoine Hennion – research director at the Center de Sociologie de l'Innovation, Mine ParisTech,



Sophia Prinz – visiting professor for «Theory of Art and Composition» at the University of the Arts Berlin.

Selected contributions are eligible for a refund of travel expenses (train ride or flight and accommodation for two nights). Additional funds are available for the publication of results in form of an edited volume and/or a special issue with an international journal. We welcome artistic performances, experiments or demonstrations and will facilitate their execution to the best of our means (various possibilities are on hand at the ICI: conference room, dining area, lounge, there is also a bookable theater near the venue, cooking experiments off-site).

Please submit abstracts and any enquiries regarding the workshop to the team of organizers via email at: sp@soz.tu-berlin.de.



REFERENCES

- Barry, Andrew. 2001. Political Machines: Governing a Technological Society. London: Athlone
- Bourdieu, Pierre. 1987. Die feinen Unterschiede: Kritik der gesellschaftlichen Urteilskraft. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- Butler, Judith. 1990. Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity. London, Routledge.
- ———. 2015. Notes Toward a Performative Theory of Assembly. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Dányi, Endre. 2015. «The Parliament as a High-Political Programm.» In Architecture, Materiality and Society: Connecting Sociology of Architecture with Science and Technology Studies, ed. A.-L. Müller and W. Reichmann. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.
- Downs, Anthony. 1957. «An economic theory of political action in a democracy.» Journal of Political Economy 65[2]: 135-150.
- Debord, Guy. 1996 [1967]. Die Gesellschaft des Spektakels. Berlin: Edition Tiamat.
- Dewey, John. 2005 [1934]. Art as experience. New York: Perigee.
- Foucault, Michel. 1986. Space, Knowledge, Power. In: The Foucault Reader, ed. Rabinow, Paul. London: Penguin.
- Göbel, Hanna Katharina, and Sophia Prinz. 2015. Die Sinnlichkeit des Sozialen.
 Wahrnehmung und materielle Kultur. Bielefeld: transcript.
- Gomart, Emilie, and Antoine Hennion. 1999. A sociology of attachment: music amateurs, drug users. In: The Sociological Review 47 (S1): 220-47.
- Groys, Boris. 2014. «On art activism.» e-flux journal 56: 1-14.
- Hayes DC conroy, Allison, and Deborah G Martin. 2010. Mobilising bodies: visceral identification in the Slow Food movement. In: Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers 35 [2]: 269-81.
- Hennion, Antoine. 2004. «Pragmatics of taste.» In The Blackwell companion to the sociology of culture, ed. M. D. Jacobs and N. Weiss Hanrahan. Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing.
- ——. 2007. «Those things that hold us together: taste and sociology.» Cultural Sociology 1 (1):97-114.
- Hoggett, Paul and Simon Thompson. 2012. "Introduction". Politics and the emotions: The affective turn in contemporary political studies. P. Hoggett and S. Thompson. London, Continuum: 1-33.
- Horkheimer, Max/Adorno, Theodor. 2006 [1944]: Dialektik der Aufklärung.
 Philosophische Fragmente. Kulturindustrie Aufklärung als Massenbetrug.
 Frankfurt a. M.: Fischer. 128-177.
- Howes, David, and Classen, Constance. 2013. Ways of sensing: understanding the senses in society. London: Routledge.
- Jonas, Michael and Littig, Beate. 2016. Praxeological Political Analysis. London/ New York: Routledge.

- Keane, Helen. 2014. «Cigarettes ar no longer sublime.» Australian Humanities Review 57: 1-20.
- Latour, Bruno 2016. Latour, B., & Leclercq, C. 2016. Reset modernity! Cambridge/London: MIT Press.
- Lindstrom, Martin. 2005. «Broad sensory branding.» Journal of Product & Brand Management 14 (2):84-7.
- Manning, Erin. 2007. Politics of Touch. Sense, Movement, Sovereignty. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Manow, Philip. 2004. «Der demokratische Leviathan—eine kurze Geschichte parlamentarischer Sitzanordnungen seit der französischen Revolution.» Leviathan 32 (3):319-47.
- Rebentisch, Juliane. 2012. Die Kunst der Freiheit: Zur Dialektik demokratischer Existenz, Suhrkamp Verlag.
- Reckwitz, Andreas. 2015a. «Ästhetik und Gesellschaft ein analytischer Bezugsrahmen.» In Ästhetik und Gesellschaft. Grundlagentexte aus Soziologie und Kulturwissenschaften, ed. A. Reckwitz, S. Prinz and H. Schäfer. Berlin: Suhrkamp.
- ——. 2015b. «Sinne und Praktiken. Die sinnliche Organisation des Sozialen.» In Die Sinnlichkeit des Sozialen. Wahrnehmung und materielle Kultur, ed. H. K. Göbel and S. Prinz. Bielefeld: transcript.
- ———. 2016. «Praktiken und ihre Affekte.» In *Praxistheorie: Ein soziologisches Forschungsprogramm*, ed. H. Schäfer. Bielfeld: transcript.
- Sassatelli, Roberta and Davolio, Federica. 2010. 'Consumption, Pleasure and Politics: Slow Food and the Politico-aesthetic Problematization of Food', Journal of Consumer Culture 10(2): 202–32
- Schatzki, Theodore, Knorr Cetina, Karin and von Savigny, Eike. 2001. The Practice Turn in Contemporary Theory. London/New York: Routlegde.
- Schulte-Römer, Nona et al. 2017. Energy Days 2017 Can you feel the energy? The Sensory Governance of energy technologies and systems. Workshop Documentation. Leipzig, UFZ.
- Shove, Elizabeth. 2003. Comfort, cleanliness and convenience: The social organization of normality, Oxford: Berg
- Spence, Charles and Gallace, Alberto. 2011. «Multisensory design: Reaching out to touch the consumer.» Psychology & Marketing 28(3): 267-308.
- Stange, Raimar/Rummel, Miriam/Waldvogel, Florian (Hrsg.) 2018. Haltung als Handlung - Das Zentrum für Politische Schönheit. München: Edition Metzen.
- Synnott, Anthony. 1993. *The body social. Symbolism, self and society.* London: Routledge.
- Thévenot, Laurent. 2014. «Engaging in the politics of participative art in practice.» In Artistic practices. Social interactions and cultural dynamics, ed. T. Zembylas. London: Rouledge.
- Tye, Larry. 1998. The father of spin: Edward L. Bernays and the birth of public relations. New York, Henry Holt.
- Vannini, Phillip, Dennis Waskul, and Simon Gottschalk. 2012. The senses in self, society, and culture: a sociology of the senses. New York: Routledge.
- Weibel, Peter. 2015. People, politics, and power. In Global activism. Art and Conflict in the 21st Century, ed. P. Weibel. Karlsruhe: ZKM.
- Zembylas, Tasos. 2014. Artistic Practices. Social Interactions and Cultural Dynamics. London/New York: Routlegde.

